IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.331 OF 2006

IN

SUMMARY SUIT NO.3001 OF 2005

M/s.Sanjivani Paranteral Ltd. ... Plaintiff

vs.

M/s.Annpurna Enterprises. ... Defendant.

Mrs.Pathak i/b. M/s.Pathak Associates, for Plaintiff.

None for Defendant.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH,J.

DATED: 26th September,2006

P.C.:-

1. The learned Counsel appearing for plaintiff states that the defendant has been served with the summons for judgment. Affidavit of service has been filed. Though the defendant is served with the summons for judgment, no application for leave to defend the suit has been filed within the time prescribed under Order 37 of Civil Procedure Code. Therefore, in terms of the provisions of Order 37 of

C.P.C. the plaintiff is entitled to a decree. Heard the learned Counsel appearing for plaintiff. Perused the record. Summons for judgment is granted. Suit is decreed in terms of prayer clause (a), and (b). Refund of court fees as per rules.